**BENG 420/590: Homework #5 SVM**

**Due: Friday, 12/7/2018, by 11:59pm**

*Same as previous homework, you can work in a group of two if you prefer. Submit this assignment as a Word or PDF document through Blackboard. Please also submit your commented Matlab code.*

1. **SVM classifier on microarray data**

The Notterman Carcinoma Data in “CarcinomaNormalDataset.xls” contains microarray data from 18 tumor patients and 18 healthy controls. The data were used for the research presented in Notterman et al, “Transcriptional Gene Expression Profiles of Colorectal Adenoma, Adenocarcinoma, and Normal Tissue Examined by Oligonucleotide Arrays”, *Cancer Res* April 1, 2001 *61;* 3124.

1. The precompiled Windows library files of *libsvm* can be found on blackboard. Depending on your system config as either being 32-bits or 64-bits, you need to download the proper files to use the svm library. Save the files in the folder where you will program this homework assignment. More information on the libsvm function utilization can be found here: <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/>

If you have a Mac, you may have to google proper ways to install the package.

1. Download “CarcinomaNormalDataset.xls” and open it in Excel. There are a lot of features in this microarray data. **(1 point)** Pick 10 features (as rows) that show **strong (small) p-values.** The p-value of each feature is given in the last column “T-Test tumor vs. normal” in the spreadsheet. These features have stronger capability in distinguishing patient instances from healthy instances. **(2 points)** Train two SVM classifiers, a linear one and a nonlinear one with Gaussian kernel, to distinguish between patients and healthy controls. You can either use the default values for the Gaussian kernel parameters (gamma and C) or optimize them.
2. **(6 points)** Given the limited number of instances, use cross validation to evaluate performance of your classifiers. Experiment with the leave-1-out strategy and leave-10-out strategy.
3. **(2 points)** Discuss the performance difference between the linear SVM classifier and the Gaussian SVM classifier.
4. **(2 points)** Discuss what you observe on the classifier performance using the two cross validation choices (leave-1-out and leave-10-out). Whether there is any difference between the two or not, explain whether that is what you expected and why.
5. **(4 points)** Now pick ten features that show weak (large) p-values larger than 0.1 and repeat (b)-(d). Compare and discuss classification using these features is different from classification using features of strong p-values.
6. Summarize your results in the following table. Submit your **discussions** and Matlab code.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Low p-values | | High p-values | |
|  | Leave-1-out | Leave-10-out | Leave-1-out | Leave-10-out |
| Linear SVM | 0 | 0 | 100 | 594.44 |
| SVM with Gaussian kernal | 100 | 569.44 | 100 | 563.89 |

1. **SVM classifier on diabetes**

The paper “Application of support vector machine modeling for prediction of common diseases: the case of diabetes and pre-diabetes” describes the application of SVM on diabetes prediction. The authors were kindly to share the data for us to use in this assignment.

1. A subset of the training and testing data used in the paper for Classification Scheme I were given in ‘train.txt’ and ‘test.txt’. They are already in the libsvm format that can be loaded into Matlab by using the “libsvmread” command.
2. **(4 points)** Experiment with four different kernels, linear kernel, polynomial kernel, radial basis function (Gaussian) kernel, and sigmoid kernel. Build a classifier using each of the four kernels and report training accuracy and testing accuracy in a table. You can use the default kernel parameters. Table 2 in the paper presented their comparison and concluded that Gaussian kernel performs the best and polynomial performs the worst. Sigmoid and linear kernels were just a little bit behind Gaussian kernel. **(2 points)** Do you observe the same order of performance with your predicted testing accuracy outcome? Please explain.
3. **(3 points)** Now let us examine the Gaussian kernel. Build two classifiers with the following two sets of parameters: (1) gamma=10000, C = 1, (2) gamma = 10, C = 2000. Describe what you observe from comparing these two classifiers and explain why one is better than the other.
4. **(5 points)** Optimize the Gaussian kernel parameters. Use a double loop to find the “optimal” gamma and C within these ranges: gamma = 0.1:0.5:10, C = 0.1:0.1:2. The optimal gamma and C will lead to the most accurate classification on the testing data. Report your gamma and C. Describe how sensitive the training accuracy and the testing accuracies are to gamma and C for this data and explain why. (Hint: think about the performance of the linear classifier)
5. **(5 points)** Train a neural network using the training data and test the classifier with the testing data. You can decide what neural network architecture to use. Report the accuracies of the classifier on the training data and the testing data in two confusion matrices. How does your neural network classifier perform compared to SVM? Please discuss.